

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

My

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,429	11/15/2000	, David A. Kapilow	1999-0096-4	5917
75	90 04/26/2005		EXAMINER	
AT & T Corporation PO Box 4110			HARPER, V PAUL	
Middletown, NJ 07748			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2654	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1111		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/700,429	KAPILOW, DAVID A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	V. Paul Harper	2654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/08	<u>8/2004</u> .				
,	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 09/700,429

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 26 and 31 of copending Application No. 09/700524. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Regarding claim 1, copending Application No. 09700524 (claims 26 and 31) includes the following limitations:

- synthesizing a speech signal corresponding to an unavailable packet (claim 26, lines 9-11).
- determining an overlap-add window to use in combining a portion of the synthesized speech signal with a subsequent speech signal resulting from a received packet being decoded by the receiver, wherein the size of the overlap-add window is

Application/Control Number: 09/700,429

Art Unit: 2654

determined based on the duration of the unavailability of packets (claim 26, lines 25-27, "overlap adds a portion of the fifth memory with the start of the first non-erased decoded frame"; claim 31, lines 1-2, "length of the overlap added increases with the length of the missing frames");

• performing an overlap-add operation on the portion of the synthesized speech signal and such speech signal with use of the overlap-add window (claim 26, lines 25-27, "overlap adds a portion ...").

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented (although Application No. 09700524 has been allowed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The previous 35 USC §103 rejection of claim 1 is withdrawn. It is noted that Stenger ("A New Error Concealment Technique for Audio Transmission with Packet Loss," Proc. of European Signal Processing Conference, 1996) teaches performing an overlap add operation to fill a gap including a merging with a subsequent packet, but Stenger does not teach that the size of the overlap-add window of the synthesized speech with the subsequent packet is determined based on the duration of the unavailability of the packets.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,429

Art Unit: 2654

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to V. Paul Harper whose telephone number is (571) 272-7605. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

d. Paul Harper

4/22/2005

V. Paul Harper Patent Examiner Art Unit 2654